UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                   | FILING DATE                          | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR  | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/559,621                        | 12/06/2005                           | Steven Thomas Slunick | 60158-315           | 2760             |
|                                   | 7590 02/02/200<br>ASKEY & OLDS, P.C. |                       | EXAMINER            |                  |
| 400 WEST MA                       | 00 WEST MAPLE ROAD                   |                       | KEE, FANNIE C       |                  |
| SUITE 350<br>BIRMINGHAM, MI 48009 |                                      |                       | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
|                                   |                                      |                       | 3679                |                  |
|                                   |                                      |                       |                     |                  |
|                                   |                                      |                       | MAIL DATE           | DELIVERY MODE    |
|                                   |                                      |                       | 02/02/2009          | PAPER            |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. |                     | Applicant(s)     |  |
|-----------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/559,621      |                     | SLUNICK ET AL.   |  |
|                 |                     |                  |  |
|                 | Examiner            | Art Unit         |  |
|                 | Examiner Fannie Kee | Art Unit<br>3679 |  |

|  | Fannie Kee   | 3679  |  |  |  |  |  |
|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe  | ars on the cover sheet with the c  | orrespondence add   | ress                                     |  |  |  |  |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>09 January 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A   | PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR  | R ALLOWANCE.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:   | replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit<br>al (with appeal fee) in compliance           | t, or other evidence, w<br>with 37 CFR 41.31; or          | hich places the (3) a Request            |  |  |  |  |
| a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date  | of the final rejection.  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Arno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (left).  | dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth i<br>ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing  | g date of the final rejectio                              | n.                                       |  |  |  |  |
| MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f   |  | . FIRST REPLT WAS FIL                                     | LED WITHIN TWO                           |  |  |  |  |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ension and the corresponding amount of<br>hortened statutory period for reply origin | of the fee. The appropria<br>nally set in the final Offic | ate extension fee<br>e action; or (2) as |  |  |  |  |
| NOTICE OF APPEAL   | ion on with 27 OFD 44 27 mount by  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl<br/>filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter<br/>Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi<br/>AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>  | sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to   | avoid dismissal of the                                    |  |  |  |  |  |
| <br>3.   | out prior to the date of filing a brief,   | will not be entered be                                    | cause                                    |  |  |  |  |
| (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor   |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below   | •  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ul><li>(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bett<br/>appeal; and/or</li></ul>  | er form for appeal by materially rec   | lucing or simplifying th                                  | ne issues for                            |  |  |  |  |
| (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c<br>NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).   | orresponding number of finally reje  | ected claims.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12   | 1. See attached Notice of Non-Cor  | mpliant Amendment (I                                      | PTOL-324).                               |  |  |  |  |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):  | ·  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>  | owable if submitted in a separate, t   | imely filed amendmer                                      | nt canceling the                         |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [ how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov</li> </ol>  |  | l be entered and an ex                                    | xplanation of                            |  |  |  |  |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:   |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) objected to:  |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) rejected:   |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:   |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE  | 1 6 1 1 6 6 5 1 N  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but<br/>because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and<br/>was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>  |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary  | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea  | al and/or appellant fails                                 | s to provide a                           |  |  |  |  |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation   | ·  | , , , ,   |  |  |  |  |  |
| REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  | does NOT place the application in  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11. ☐ The request for reconsideration has been considered but  | does NOT place the application in  | condition for allowand                                    | ce because:                              |  |  |  |  |
| 12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).  |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13. 🛮 Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .   |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | /Aaron M Dunwoody/<br>Primary Examiner, Art U  | nit 3679  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |

Continuation of 13. Other: The interview summary filed by Applicant is an accurate summary of the interview held between Examiner, Primary Eaminer Dunwoody and Applicant's representative.